

Posted now on my web page, [www.jimssciencepage.info](http://www.jimssciencepage.info) is a paper on Kurt Gödel's first incompleteness theorem. It is entitled, *A Critique of Kurt Gödel's 1931 Paper: "On formally undecidable propositions of Principia Mathematica and related systems 1"*

The word count for my paper is 12,471, and the Flesch-Kincaid grade level is 12.18. The Gettysburg Address has a grade level rating of 12.90. The sentence complexity of my paper is rated 49 out of a possible 100 as compared with the Gettysburg Address's rating of 75 out of a possible 100.

I tried to keep my paper as simple as possible. I tried to explain every step of my criticism. This includes details such as the exact location of a particular term in a particular formula. That is one reason for the woodiness of the paper. I also repeat definitions given at the beginning of the paper throughout the text. This makes some sentences seem redundant and awkward because portions of a definition are slipped into them. I also repeat certain points several times. The result is that certain formulas appear quite often.

The upside to all of this is that unlike perhaps all other criticisms and explanations of Gödel's first incompleteness theorem this one should be understandable.

In my criticism of Gödel I find his notions utterly lacking in any merit at all. This may go a long way in explaining why he wrapped his notions in impenetrable murk. This does not explain why those who later wrote about his first incompleteness theorem followed in this tradition.